

FINAL NARRATIVE REPORT FORM

**FINAL NARRATIVE REPORT**

- Please, download and fill in this form in your computer.
- The information provided below must correspond to the financial information that appears in the final financial statement of expenditure.
- If possible, provide the Commission also with an electronic copy of this report (email or disk)
- If possible, provide the Commission also with an electronic copy of all relevant documents (speeches, studies, etc.).

**A) PROJECT**

1. **Reference number** of the project

JLS/2006/AGIS/240

2. **Title** of the project:

Re.S.P.I.R.O Re.te S.viluppo P.revenzione I.nclusione R.isorse O.pportunità  
(Network Development Prevention Inclusion Resources Opportunity)

3. **Promoter** of the project:

C.F.P.P. Casa di Carità Onlus

4. **Start date and end date** of the project (if this information differs from the information provided in your application form, please, explain also the reasons thereof)

31/12/2006 – 30/12/2008

5. **Country (ies)** involved in the project (if this information differs from the information provided in your application form, please, explain also the reasons thereof)

Italy, France, Spain, Romania, Denmark

6. **Partner(s)** during the implementation of the project (if this information differs from the information provided in your application form, please, explain also the reasons thereof)

C.F.P.P. Casa di Carità Onlus

C.E.F.A.L. - Bologna Scrl

AgeSoL - Agenzia di Solidarietà per il Lavoro Onlus

Consorzio S.I.S. Sistema Imprese Sociali

Scuola centrale formazione

Acep

Regione lombardia

Provincia di milano

Regione piemonte

Coop. Sociale IT 2

Consorzio ASAS

Asociacion Agiantza

TED – Training Education Development

Fundatia Padri Somaschia  
Comune di Bologna  
Consorzio per la form.Prof. Techne  
Provveditorato Amministrazione Penitenziaria della Regione Lombardia

7. Indicate the number of **participants** in each of the project activities as well as their names, function, the organisation they represent, and their country of origin. Did the participants comment on the activities of the project? If they did, please, summarise the comments.

Judicial authorities, operators of rights: 30  
Police servants: 20  
Others civil servants: 50  
Members of civil society, associations, ONG: 150  
Professional organizations: 20  
Research area: 20  
Private area: 60

Stetes member of UE: DENMARK: 4  
                                  SPAIN: 60  
                                  FRANCE: 86  
                                  ITALY: 120

Candidate countries: ROMANIA: 80

8. Which **target group(s)** benefited directly or indirectly from the project?

Target group that benefited directly from the project was the net of the private social and Institutions that deal with social and working reintegration of prisoners and ex prisoners. Indirectly therefore, was just prisoners and ex prisoners target to benefit from project outcomes as we have worked on the improvement of the service offer thanks to the strenghtening of regional nets and to the swap of good practices.

9. If the European Commission set out **conditions** in its selection letter, how were these conditions fulfilled?

No, it doesn't exists conditions set out from European Commission.

10. Amongst the **planned activities** in the project financed by the European Commission, please outline those that were **implemented**.

Implemented activities in the project started on the end of December 2006 and finished on December 2008.

In details activities were as following :

1) **Sharing of the operative working plan, procedure of communication among partner and settlement of monitoring procedure inside the project and presentation of the evaluation plan.**

The project leader assured activities planning, with link to partners.

On project starting, together with the conventions of entrusting activity from CFPP to project single partners, a dossier has been given to the referent of each partner organization; it contained:

- A copy of the approved project (form + project total budget)
- A resuming document of tasks and responsibilities expected for each partner
- The detailed budget for each partner

- The managing instructions concerning guide lines of Agis 2006 (taken from the vademecum realized by European Community)

Therefore it has been agreed a procedure internal of partnership, controlling the project state progress, foreseeing, every four months, the sending of physical progress data of activities, supported costs included.

Besides, it has been suggested a project time's planning, with the suitable updating and eventual adjustments, following every project meeting, to support the project real effectiveness.

For each of the 6 involved territories (Piemonte, Lombardia, Emilia Romagna, France, Spain, Romania), it has been singled a regional referent (coordinator), charged to animate and link the local network of the territory (project partners + eventual associated partners or local stakeholders).

Therefore each regional referent has connected himself with the Project Leader for the national and transnational macro-coordination.

The coordination meetings, but also the meeting linked to activities of dissemination and mainstreaming, have been opportunities of control in itinere of working plan and the obtained results, with the support of the internal and external evaluator, to confirm or agree corrective actions/ improving proposal for working plan.

The project events have normally been documented, with reports shared and diffused to all partner.

## **2) Recognition of experiences and good practices (normative cadre and social and working inclusion models)**

The research coordination function has been identified in a CEFAL expert (Daniela Farini).

Each regional coordinator, therefore, has stated a referent for research activity as interface with CEFAL, to process contribution from each territory.

The suggested research methodology is based on case studies and on research-action (through operators' involvement during the whole research with participatory modalities) starting from the exploitation of services on the territory and of the actors working on it, focusing on significant experiences developed in such contexts.

Research Phases have been::

- case studies' research on European experiences (IT- ES- FR) through desk analysis and focus groups;
- Detection and analysis of good practices;
- Models' individuation.

The research plan proposes an experiences' analysis divided in three thematic axes of intervention:

- Professional/working information and orienting
- Vocational training
- Work accompanying

There are also the indications of a criteria' series to individuate the experiences with useful characteristics to be signalled for the analysis:

- integration between working active policies and formative policies (with particular attention also to social-welfare policies), among employment services, between public and private social, between formal and informal);
- further performance or transferability;
- offer standardization or stabilization;
- offer quality;
- congruity between demand/offer;
- sustainability between offered actions and services and intervention's context;
- innovation (of process, of professional figures, of knowledge, of methodologies, etc.)
- efficiency in managing the dedicated sources (or potentially to be dedicated to prisoners' employment increasing)

**RESULT:**

- Study on good practices in 5 (total) regional contexts in EU different countries (IT,FR,ES);
- Partners' involvement in the actions of research, exchange and analysis of experiences and practices.

### 3) Case studies selection

Individuation of case studies is to be done by partner's project and institutional actors adhering to the project. Particularly:

1. C.F.P.P and Piemonte Region: individuation of 4 excellence experiences
2. Agesol, SIS, Lombardia Region, Milano Province, PRAP Lombardia: individuation of 4 excellence experiences
3. Cefal, Emilia-Romagna Region, Bologna Municipality, Techné, It2:individuation of 4 excellence experiences
4. Asociaciòn Agiantza (ES): individuation of 3 excellence experiences
5. ACEP(France): individuation of 3 excellence experiences

This research phase leads to the individuation of 18 exempla experiences (12 regional, 6 European) reported, with the care of regional referents (that is to say project's partners in cooperation with institutional partner), in a selection card.

#### RESULT:

- Study of good practices in 5 (totally) regional contexts indifferent European countries (IT, FR, ES);
- Partners' involvement in the actions of research, exchange and analysis of experiences and practices;
- Improvement of operators' competences through their involvement in the actions of research, exchange and analysis of experiences and practices.

### 4) Case studies analysis and normative context where they are activated

Each of the 18 pointed out cases have been object of deepening through interviews made by phone and by e mail to deep: improvement and organization of the services, involved professional figures and competences, logistic sources, relationship's system with the territory, instruments and products, typology of evaluation system and a structured card to present the normative cadre.

Analysis realized are , afterwards, re-elaborated inside a report of individuation and analysis of good practices, presented during the II° Transnational Meeting in France.

Furthermore it has been fixed tha the normative cadre should include development indicators of regional policies addressed to social inclusion .

The cases saw and analyzed, it is put into evidence that among the chosen ones the approach to genre is missing. The partners therefore agree to add a further case addressed to women prisoner or in penal execution (in Lombardia).

#### RESULT:

- analysis of 18 selected with relevant deepening level through interview.;
- Partners' involvement in the actions of research, exchange and analysis of experiences and practices;
- Improvement of operators' competences through their involvement in the actions of research, exchange and analysis of experiences and practices.

### 5) Synthesis of intervention models – research report

Once single cases have been analysed research developed a document for definition and development of courses about social-working insertion individuated on the axes of orienting, education, working accompanying,

In occasion of the second project transnational meeting that took place in Calais the intermediate report was presented. It develops following points:

- I. Emilia-Romagna case; Piemonte case; Lombardia case; France case; Spain case.
- II. First definition of a services catalogue starting from the comparison of case studies.
- III. First definition of a dictionary/glossary on the services and measures of social inclusion through work.

Following this first synthesis, the plan foresees analysis realization for the updating of “reelaborated typologies” of practices to be, potentially, strengthened or to be transferred in other territory contests, integrating support intervention to social inclusion through work, to prevent crime and recidivisms.

Intermediate report was also object of comparison with national institutions involved in the project that have proposed also a series of settlements and conventional definitions for different terms used in the presented document..

During the third transnational meeting that took place in Bilbao from the 9th to the 11th of April 2008 research in their final version has been presented pointing out sections that need integrations from partners

Report was afterwards integrated and revised, equipped from a synthesis of European directives that touch topics of penitentiary systems and of penal execution and of an European glossary.

Final research report has been presented during the mainstreaming meeting of Baia Mare that took place on the 3 October 2008, while a more large presentation of outcomes and conclusion of this activity is presented during the final event of Bologna ( 20 – 21 October 2008).

#### **RESULT:**

- Optimizing of partners’ and other relevant subjects’ experiences (visibility, communication, put in network...)
- The research report organized and systematized in an organic model the contributions of practices signalled by each territory, putting into evidence the aspects of excellence, innovation, transferability...according to the analysis model, as well as creating, through their integration, added value in respect to original practices.
- Improvement of operators’ competences through their involvement in the actions of research, exchange and analysis of experiences and practices
- Creation of widened local nets connected among the regions.

#### **6) Diffusion and transfer of individuated models as good practices**

The individuated models have been the object of a project final publication, called “Research Final Report”.

The publication is divided in 4 Chapters:

Chap.1 – The research course and the analyzed cases

Chap.2 – Normative cadres of reference contests for signalled experiences

- European ones
- Nationals ones
- Regional and local ones

Chap.3 – Activity cards for the 3 macro-areas of reference

- Information and professional/working orienting
- Vocational training
- Work accompanying

Chap.4 – Glossary of services and measures of social inclusion through work

This publication has been printed in double version (Italian and English) and distributed during the final event in Italy

It has been presented, in a nearly definitive version, also in Romania during the meeting of mainstreaming (with the prison of Baia Mare, Social Services of Baia Mare Municipality, the Fundatia of Somaschi Volunteers of Baia Mare) and the mainstreaming public seminary of 3rd October 2008 in the Province Library Room of Baia Mare.

Besides this publication, among the products for project diffusion, spread by electronic supports, the project intermediate report, with the enclosure of signalization cards and analysis of all practices object of the research.

Besides what foreseen by the project, RESPIRO has been object of an informative broadcasting realized lively on Rumanian local network Canal 7 with the participation of two project partners (Fundatia Padri Somaschi and CEFAL) and the responsible of public relation for Baia Mare penitentiary.

The cooperation and the meetings, particularly with Rumanian partners, priority beneficiaries of project mainstreaming actions, have stimulated a project planning for next future, inside training intervention – support for the working insertion inside public-private partnerships, with a transnational component. Some project hypothesis are under study to be presented to open or waiting to be published notices.

#### **RESULT:**

- Optimizing of partners' and other relevant subjects' experiences (visibility, communication, put in network...) during public events;
- Improvement of operators' competences through their involvement in the actions of diffusion and mainstreaming of good practices, thanks to qualified contributions offered by attending speakers.

#### **7) Elaboration of a “memorandum of understanding”**

In comparison with the national level: partners have agreed to individuate an association level allowing to individuate the operators group also with respect to their belonging from an identified and representative group, sharing a mission and an ethic plan: the referent has been CONFAP. Inside it several people executed a “memorandum of understanding” to work together on these themes.

#### **RESULT:**

- Creation of a specialized national technical – scientific group

#### **8) National seminars of results dissemination**

National seminars, took place in Italy, Spain and France on the same day with a sharing of common objectives and lines.

It is individuated as the seminary objective, together with a presentation of realized works inside Respiro project, a presentation of the most significant network experiences at European and national level. All this to support and promote a network of subjects, operating at European and national level about the social inclusion of prisoners and ex-prisoners, according to the project finalities.

The structure of dissemination and mainstreaming events has been planned together with the partners during the 3° transnational meeting in Bilbao.

The participants and the speakers will belong from social private and local institutions (not only national referents).

The date is fixed for 10th June simultaneously with Spain and France.

At the opening of the 3 national events it has been realized a connection in videoconference among the seats of the events through technologies of wide diffusion. In this way it has been offered an international reflection hint to the discussion developed in the following works of the day.

Italian convention has been realized in Torino (initially it was foreseen to be realized in Bologna).

Spanish workshop has taken place in Bilbao.

French workshop has taken place in Calais.

It has been a comparison reserved to a limited group (about a dozen of participants) and of a dimension more local than of national interest. This also because among the project partners there is 1 sole French partner (differently Italian partners representing 3 different regions and include an institution of national level).

#### **RESULT:**

- Creation of a specialized national technical – scientific group

### **9) International Mainstreaming**

The project has foreseen 2 mainstreaming moments, in Romania and in Italy in Bologna.

The aim of the convention in Romania has been disseminate the AGIS Respiro project results and to favour chances to build a network with the Country.

In Romania, on one side it is considered useful to organize a formal convention through which collecting evidences and interventions inherent to the prefixed objective (project results diffusion-presentation exchange of inclusion and network experiences), on the other side to realize a plan of visits and meetings with preferred witnesses of Rumanian system (Institutional and of social private).

About 33 people attended the seminary, among which:

- public authorities/local institutions: 14 (General Direction of welfare and protection of minors, Public social services Department, County Agency for Employment, Inspectorate of Police with jurisdiction in the county of Maramures – Department for the prevention of crime; penitentiary of Baia Mare, Service of formal proof (conditional suspension of sentence) of Maramures Tribunal, Intermediate Regional Organism of Sectorial Operative Group for Human Sources Development;
- social private operators: Association for Recovery and reintegration of people with I.M.C., Caritas, Fundatia de Voluntary Somaschi, Fundatia Padri Somaschi, Maramures Red Cross, A&I Cooperative, SIS Consortium, Agesol, Asociation Agiantza, CEFAL, CEC/SCF, CFPP, ASAS Consortium.

The speakers , giving contributions to the convention, were:

- Respiro project partners (AGESOL, CEFAL, Scuola Centrale Formazione, Fundatia Padri Somaschi)
- Functionaries of social Services from Baia Mare Municipality
- Functionaries of Service of formal proof for Baia Mare minors
- Educator Chief Inspector of penitentiary administration of Maramures- Baia Mare penitentiary
- Functionary of intermediate managing organism of FSE in Romania- North West Region.

Also local press attended the seminary.

Besides what foresees by the project, RESPIRO has been object of an informative broadcasting realized lively on the local Rumanian TV-network.

Channel 7, with the participation of two project partners (Fundatia Padri Somaschi and CEFAL) and the referent for public relations of Baia Mare penitentiary.

Baia Mare mainstreaming seminary was preceded, besides a pilotage meeting, by some meetings and visits on Rumanian territory.

These meetings, which preceded the international meeting of 3rd October, have allowed a preliminary comparison between partners and some preferred.

Stakeholders of the territory before public events, for a better comprehension of the context of Maramures County, of Rumanian penitentiary system, and useful for the sharing of international seminary objectives and to the individuation of common interest points for possible perspectives of further deepening and local and transnational cooperation.

### **Final meeting in Bologna**

The project final events have taken place in Bologna and they were articulated along 3 days: Piloting Committee (19th November), visit to social inclusion experiences of Emilia Romagna, technical workshop of reflexion on possible networks, with 3 levels of discussion and comparison: European, national and local (20th November), final international convention (21st November).

About 30 sector's operators participated to the workshop.

The speakers were: the Director of Scuola Centrale Formazione, the Responsible of European Policies Service and Emilia-Romagna Region International Relations, the Director of Central Administration of Rumanian Penitentiaries, a representative of Barka Foundation (Poland), the Responsible of Agiantza Association (Spain), a representative of Centro Studi Don Calabria-Verona, the Director of CFPP Torino, a representative of Emilia-Romagna Region,

the Director and Responsible of social sector of Cefal-Bologna, the Director of Educative Centre Murialdo-Taranto, the Director of Agesol-Milano, the Manager of Bologna Province Work Service, the planning Responsible of Techné s.c.a.r.l Forlì-Cesena.

The final event of international diffusion of project results has taken place in Bologna on 21st November 2008, in the Council Room of Emilia-Romagna Region.

About 50 people attended the convention, among which:

- 17 representatives of public institutions (Minister of Justice – Rumanian Penitentiary Administrative Department – Italian Penitentiary Administrative Department, Emilia-Romagna Region, Bologna Municipality);
- 31 representatives of social private organizations (Vocational training Institutions, National Associations of Vocational training Institutes, Social Cooperatives, Associations, Cooperative Consortia, Voluntary Associations, ONG).

Among the European Countries there were the representatives of: Italy, Spain, Denmark, and Romania.

During the convention (see program in enclosure)the speakers were:

- Emilia-Romagna Region Counsellor
- Representative of Emilia-Romagna Region, General Direction of Culture, Education and Work
- Regional Commissioner of Emilia-Romagna penitentiary Administration
- Director of CFPP Casa di Carità ONLUS- Torino
- Director of Association Agiantza- Bilbao- Spain
- Agesol Director – Milano
- TED president – Denmark
- Representative of Bologna Municipality
- Chief Inspector of Penitentiary Administration – Baia Mare- Romania
- Director of Penitentiary Administration Department
- National- Superior Institute of Penitentiary Studies
- President of Bologna Court Monitoring

Besides, it was foreseen the intervention of a representative of European Commission –DG Occupation, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, who unfortunately could not participate because of an unexpected commitment.

Through the public presentation of the results of research and other transnational activities and particularly of report and syntheses of networks reinforcement works that can be (and the project partners, together with the Institutions that accompanied them in this work, hope than this is) taken as a reference as useful elements both for planning and for the distribution of solutions/services for social and working inclusion of people in criminal enforcement.

The dissemination events, moreover, have further on consolidated and enlarged the network, favouring the comparison and the exchange both in a horizontal sense, among organizations of the same nature (private social institutions with private social institutions, Regional Administrations competent for education and work with other Regions, penitentiary administration authorities with other penitentiary administrations) and in a vertical sense, among all these typologies of organizations

#### **RESULT:**

- Improvement of operators competences through their involvement in the exchange activities and particularly the visits to the experiences , object of research analysis
- Optimizing of partners and other relevant subjects experiences (Visibility, communication, put in network...) during public events
- Improvement of operators competences through their involvement in the dissemination actions and mainstreaming of good practices thanks to the qualified contributions offered by the speakers

11. Amongst the **planned activities** in the project financed by the European Commission, please outline those that were **not implemented** and give reasons why this was the case. thereof.

There aren't any implemented activities



12. Were any **unforeseen activities** in the project financed by the European Commission **implemented**?

In the overall organization of the project there are some outcomes not foreseen addressed to a larger development of foreseen activities .

In particular:

- Increasing in the number of case studies (from 8 to 19); a common glossary of shared terms enclosed to the research report;
- An added case study dealing with an experience addressed specifically to female persons in lawful custody);
- Organisation (without additional costs for the project) of a preparatory visit in Romania of the project leader and the responsible for the research activity to plan the mainstreaming seminar;
- Organisation of visits and territory animation meetings in Romania in the days before the mainstreaming seminar.
- Use of communication means as local television to spread the expected event in Baia Mare.

13. Which **results** were obtained for each activity described above? Please include details on how the end results helped the achievement of the initial goals of the project and if other goals, not initially foreseen, were also fulfilled. How has this been evaluated (which **evaluation criteria /methods** have been used)? How would you describe the **impact** of this project?

**1) Sharing of the operative plan , condition of communication amongst partner and definition of internal monitoring and presentation of the evaluation plan**

Result:

- Improvement of staff competences

**2) Mapping of experiences and good practises (normative cadre and social and working inclusion models)**

Result:

- Research survey on good practises in 5 regional contexts in all from different European Countries (IT, FR, ES).
- Improvement of operators' competences through their involvement in the actions of research, exchange and analysis of experiences and practices.

**3) Selection of Case studies**

Result:

- Research survey on good practises in 5 regional contexts in all from different European Countries (IT, FR, ES).
- Improvement of operators' competences through their involvement in the actions of research, exchange and analysis of experiences and practices.

**4) Analysis of case studies and legal contexts in which they are applied**

Result:

- analysis of 18 selected with relevant deepening level through interview;
- Improvement of operators' competences through their involvement in the actions of research, exchange and analysis of experiences and practices.

**5) Synthesis of models of intervention- research report**

Result:

- Optimizing of partners' and other relevant subjects' experiences (visibility, communication, put in network...) during public events

- Improvement of operators' competences through their involvement in the actions of research, exchange and analysis of experiences and practices
- Creation of widened local nets connected among the regions.

#### **6) Diffusion and Transfer of the models identified as “good practises”**

Result:

- Optimizing of partners' and other relevant subjects' experiences (visibility, communication, put in network...) during public events.
- Improvement of operators competences through their involvement in the dissemination actions and mainstreaming of good practices thanks to the qualified contributions offered by the speakers.

#### **7) Draft of a “memorandum of understanding”**

Result:

In comparison with the national level: partners have agreed to individuate an association level allowing to individuate the operators group also with respect to their belonging from an identified and representative group, sharing a mission and an ethic plan: the referent has been CONFAP. Inside it several people executed a “memorandum of understanding” to work together on these themes

- Creation of a specialized national technical – scientific group
- Creation of widened local nets connected among the regions

#### **8) National seminars for the dissemination of results**

Result:

- Creation of a specialized national technical – scientific group
- Creation of widened local nets connected among the regions
- Development European network

#### **9) International Mainstreaming**

Result:

- Improvement of staff competences through staff collaboration in research, exchange and analysis activities;
- Optimizing of partners' and other relevant subjects' experiences (visibility, communication, put in network...) during public events
- Improvement of operators competences through their involvement in the dissemination actions and mainstreaming of good practices thanks to the qualified contributions offered by the speakers
- Creation of a specialized national technical – scientific group
- Creation of widened local nets connected among the regions
- Development European network

Project objectives have been reached through the individual activities implemented and their connected outcomes.

Objective “To promote, to widen and to consolidate nets, cooperation among member states but also regional and local on the common theme about criminality prevention through social and working inclusion” has been reached through the development of a widened local net connected among the regions to supervise study activities and swap of identified model as good practices from the point of view of a national mainstreaming project.

Objective “To analyse, to swap, to modelize, to strengthen and to spread experiences and good practices” has been reached through the improvement of operators competences through their involvement in the dissemination actions and mainstreaming of good practices thanks to the qualified contributions offered by the speakers.

Moreover it has also contributed to optimizing of partners' and other relevant subjects' experiences during public events.

Objective “To join institutions, authority of contrast/judicial and services of prison with services of territory and private social for the elaboration of shared strategies” and objective “swap of informations, spread and nets” have been reached through modelization of good practices for the social inclusion support through the work for the crime prevention; the development of a European network; the development of a widened local nets connected among the regions and the creation of a specialized national technical – scientific group.

Result of project have been analysed inside the internal and external evaluation carried out and implemented during project life.

Evaluation reference frame work is based on the analysis of the expected goals and of the goals achieved through the project, by its actions and its outputs, for the strengthening of the private-public cooperation for the exchange of good practises concerning crime prevention through social inclusion and employment of offenders and ex-offenders.

The evaluation uses an approach addressed to the acquisition of a wider knowledge of the key elements to reach the project objectives, that is to say: the networks reinforcement, good practices exchange, the improvement of know how about the possibility of transferring experiences or models among different contests, also integrated them to existing practices.

The evaluation report has been produced on the basis of the following elements:

1. Analysis of intermediate state of the art reports addressed to project regional coordinators;
2. Analysis of standard project documents (or at least, of the most important ones);
3. Matching of the general project development with expected goals and results;
4. Suggestions and evaluations concerning the trans-national activities collected through a
5. final questionnaire.
6. Suggestions and evaluations concerning mainstreaming and spreading activities collected through a final questionnaire.

We can synthetize the impact of the project with a series of success reached during the project and with result obtained from the same project.

As far as European network development concerns at the end of the project it is registered:

- Reinforcing and widening of national and international network, with the joining in of new subjects, also institutional; through the relations with public Institutions, project partners, the partnership has entered in connection with the European network Ex-offenders.

- There has been the realization of many occasions of comparison among the experiences of different European Regions, with the active protagonism of the key interlocutors for the project intervention scope, irrespective of the fact that on their turns they had given formal adhesion to RESPIRO project, cooperating effectively to project activities and to the achievement of results, but also to actions of revival and development that could continue after the end of AGIS Respiro.

The penitentiary system has been involved both *Italian* and *Rumanian* (both at local level, in the operative seat involved in the project, and at national level).

The project managed in meeting *two systems* and in starting an exchange that could, in the future, bring new development perspectives in the cooperation between two territories among which it is realized a strong phenomenon of people and sources mobility.

As far as the establishment of a specialised Technical –Political Group at national level at the end of the project it is registered:

- National network strengthening and consolidation: a National frame-work agreement has been signed among Italian National Associations of Vocational Training Organisations.

The Italian Project partners which are vocational training organisation with a National level of representation are members of the signatory Associations.

(See also the agreement in attachment)

- Partners have created and made use of sinergie with other initiatives at National, and in particular: connections with the National (Italian) network “OPEN” promoted within the Action 3 of the Equal Community with whom it has carried out exchange of documents and enlarged debate to different stakeholders concerning the main themes of the project or connection with the National (Italian) project **GULLIVER**, funded by the Ministry of the Labour. **GULLIVER** promoted (among different activities) study visits aiming to exchange good practices in the field of vocational training.

- Diffusion and mainstreaming events, both at national level and international level, have seen a significant and of high profile participation both of social private and of public authorities, both of employment-social inclusion sector and of justice sector.

In this respect it is necessary to remember that:

- At Torino seminary of 20th June 2008 there was the participation of 60 people, among who the representatives of: Biella Province, Lodi Province, Torino Penitentiary Administration, Lombardia Region, AUSL SERT of Forli, Vercelli Home District-Penitentiary Administration, Bologna Province, Piemonte Region, Biella Penitentiary Administration, Bologna Employment Centre, Emilia-Romagna Region, Torino Province, Torino Minors Area-Minister of Justice, ISFOL, besides different significant representatives of Vocational Training Institutes, Cooperatives and Consortia;
- At Bologna final events there was the participation of: International Relationships and European Policies Service of Emilia-Romagna Region, the Direction of Central Administration of Rumanian Penitentiaries, the Department Direction of National Penitentiary Administration – Superior Penitentiary Studies Institutes, the Regional Superintendence of Penitentiary Administration of Emilia-Romagna Region, Baia Mare Penitentiary Administration, the General Administration of Culture, Education and Work of Emilia-Romagna Region, Bologna Province Work Service, Bologna Municipality, the Presidency of Bologna Surveillance Tribunal.

As far as new partners in Local Networks and local networks connected each other from one region to another.

Positive feedback from the Institutional representatives, in terms of participation to proposed initiatives and of active involvement in the discussion.

The Region Emilia Romagna (which didn't formally endorse the project as it was submitted by the grant holder) joined the discussion, becoming an operative partner (even if it doesn't receive any fund from the project).

In the second part of the project, particularly, the practices enquiry finished and project mainstreaming and modelization action started, all the systems involved in the project thematics (penitentiary system, social policies system, workeducation-instruction policies system) have been actively involved, irrespective to their formal adhesion during the presentation of financement request, and without further expense for the project) with mutual satisfaction.

As far as Research on good practises in 5 regional contexts (in all) from 3 different European Countries (IT, FR,ES)

- The study, analysis and widenings carried out could be a stimulus for the development of new intervention in this field, also in different contexts from the ones object of the project deepening, and it represents, therefore, an added value at European level.

As far as enhancement (and value build up) of experiences of partners and other relevant organisations (marketing, communication, connection...).

-Evaluation of a research report constitutes a good product of study and in-depth study of the theme.

-A strong attention on the visibility and communication to the outside of the project results within the circle of planned events has been characterized by the comparison and the exchange both in a horizontal sense, among organizations of same nature (social private institutions with social private institutions, Regional Administrations competent for education and work with other Region, authorities of penitentiary administration with other penitentiary administrations) and in a vertical sense among all these typologies of organizations.

As far as improvement of staff competences:

- Through staff collaboration in research, exchange and analysis activities;

- Through staff involvement in diffusion and mainstreaming actions.

- The work of sharing and progressive implementation of the research output has encouraged (through the exchange) the dialogue among partners and the increasing of knowledge on the other partners' systems and solutions applied in other contexts. This activity, so, contributed to the growth of competences and expertise of the professionals involved.

- A further improvement of operators competences has been achieved through the diffusion and mainstreaming actions, which have moreover allowed a widening in the number of involved operators, addressing in any case simply to people already working in the sector.

The involvement of homologous Institutions from different regions/countries (see Regional

administrations for the policies of education and work, Italian and Rumanian penitentiary Limited time and resources for the transnational meetings made the potential enlargement of the discussion to local stakeholders and the involvement of Institutions weaker (only the Municipality of Bologna attended a transnational meeting in Bologna) 51 Administrations, Italian and Rumanian administrations of municipalities and provinces) favoured the comparison among systems, their regulations and promoted initiatives, with a view to possible improvements of the same.

As far as improvement of expert services supply through the strengthening of regional networks and the exchange of good practises.

- The report and the synthesis of reinforcing works for the networks can be taken as a reference of useful elements both for planning and for living solutions/services for social and working inclusion of people in criminal enforcement.
- The new projects presented by partners on their territories in the intervention sector of the project took into account what apprehended , about methodologies and solutions, from research work.
- The research work gave stimulus to partners and their networks (local, national and international).
- Some partners have shown the intention to start innovative projects (see particularly in Romania), with a transnational component, addressed to people in criminal enforcement, starting from the interlocutors network activated by AGIS Respiro project, exploiting the experience of this initiative.

14. What were the main **problems/difficulties** encountered during the implementation of the project activities? How were these solved?

During the project implementation some difficulties have been pointed out, registered from evaluation questionnaires given to the partners and discussed during the National and transnational piloting committee meetings.

In particular partner have pointed out problems about:

- 1) At distance communication among the partners and the “keeping the level of motivation in respect with the objectives” both for language problems and for clear adhesion to the process and objective product of the project.
- 2) The organizing difficulties linked to “decentralized” location with respect to fast and economical links (particularly airplanes) of partners’ seats during transnational meetings;
- 3) The organizing difficulties linked to the use of more than one of communication language among different operators of all partners
- 4) An apparent lack of homogeneity between Italian contests and the ones of other Countries (excepted Romania), where the activities were developed, at least for what has been perceived from project communications, at single institutions level, with a reduced involvement of other local stakeholders (institutional or private), who moreover were not formally partners;
- 5) Some delay with respect to expected delivery times for some intermediate elaborates.

Weak points made clear have been analysed and faced up through a modality shared with partners, individualizing tools to face and surpass difficulties appeared.

First and fifth points have been faced up through an action more punctual from project leader who has monitored work process and related with each partner for a joined verify action.

Link difficulties with partner seats hasn’t been object of evaluation, if only for the working calendar planning during transnational meetings, which would provide also moving times.

Language problem it has been partially solved with some people expert in working language who were presents during workshops (in particular French and English), as well as the presence of working documents written in individualized language.

Fourth point it has been solved thanks to organization of national diffusion workshops who were been occasions of network on a local scale, through the involvement of reference private and public net.

15. How was the **visibility** of the European Union’s financial support ensured in the project?

European Commission funding is mentioned explicitly in every presentation document of the project and in every meetings carried out we have presented objectives of Agis Programme and Respiro Project.

In every brochures wich promote project activities and workshops organizedi è citata European Commission and Agis Programme are mentioned (see website [www.cfpp.it](http://www.cfpp.it)), with respective logos. In all producted brochures, in official documents and in the folders given out during the meetings has been reproduced logo of European Commission , as well as indication of Agis Programme.

Against outcomes obtained during Respiro, it is our interest that also all future initiatives , even if following the end of the project, would meet the more wide and significant explanation of the support provided from the European Commission to the carried out feasibility study.

16. Will the project **continue** after financial support from the European Commission has ended? If yes, how?

The cooperation and the meetings, particularly with Rumanian partners, priority beneficiaries of project mainstreaming actions, have stimulated a project planning for next future, inside training intervention – support for the working insertion inside public-private partnerships, with a transnational component. Some project hypothesis are under study to be presented to open or waiting to be published notices.

Moreover it is pointed out how the memorandum undersigned on a National scale (Italy) subjects if the social private who deal with working and social inclusion of disadvantaged persons for justice case will be the operative instrument for involved partners to the definition of future planning quality.

17. What are the concrete **working instruments/results** that came out of the project (for example: CD-ROM, video, manual, web site, ...)?

Research action report, with 19 detailed case fiches annexed.

o The interim report was composed of 44 pages + 5 annexes. Annex n. 5 is composed of 18 study cases from 5 different regions (from 3 different Member States in total).

o The final research report

- An agreement among Italian National Associations of training centres dealing with target groups coming from detention paths or alternative measures from detention. These Associations are representative of part of the project partners which have a national level of representation.

- General evaluation framework

- External evaluation Interim report

- Internal evaluation Interim report

- Acts of regional, national and transnational meetings.

- External evaluation final report

- Internal evaluation final report

18. How do you intend to **disseminate** the results of this project within existing and/or new networks? What is the project's potential transferability to other sectors and users? What **intellectual property** arrangements have you agreed within the partnership? Do you intend to commercialise all or part of the results? If so, please give details.

Certainly interest of capofila and partners is to ensure the greater and more wide possible diffusion of activities carried out and outcomes reached.

Reasons are various :

- The opportunities offered by FSE regional planning for transnational and inter-regional activities, besides European programs for territory cooperation, are to be

taken into fuller consideration for the promotion of initiatives emerged from the comparison between public and private subjects of project involved territories.

- The comparison and exchange both in a horizontal sense, among organizations of same nature and in a vertical sense stimulated an interesting debate which can contribute to offer answers in relation to new needs of reference contest
- The link between national network and Ex-offenders network and the exchange instruments implemented by it, can offer a wide reference cadre where to make flow together the knowledge heritage matured in the project
- Therefore all products and result will be spread and promote among actors that participate to territorial nets already existing and those which will activated in the future.

Among instruments of diffusion a priority role will be covered from international network which has been implemented during the project and will develop in a horizontal and vertical sense involvement of other disadvantage sectors and other actors involved in the justice system, encouraging, in this way, enduring collaborations as regard cooperation between Institutions and organizations of social private on a european scale.

Furthermore the national technical – scientific group constituted on a italian scale will remain a point of reference also after the end of the project for organizations which dela with crime detention through working and social inclusion.

It's not foreseen any marketing of outcomes and their fruition will be always completely free of charge.

Intellectual property is joined among all partner which have closed the project.

## **B) COMMENTS**

1. Do the end results (outlined under point A.13 above) appear to correspond with the initial objectives? Please explain.
---

Final result of the project, described in a wide way in section A.13 are certainly in accordance with determinate project objectives..

Objective “To promote, to widen and to consolidate nets, cooperation among member states but also regional and local on the common theme about criminality prevention through social and working inclusion” has been reached trough the development of a widened local net connected among the regions to supervise study activities and swap of identified model as good practices from the point of view of a national mainstreaming project.

Objective “To analyse, to swap, to modelize , to strenghten ad to spread experiences and good practices” has been reached through the Improvement of operators competences through their involvement in the dissemination actions and mainstreaming of good practices thanks to the qualified contributions offered by the speakers.

Moreover it has also contributed optimizing of partners’ and other relevant subjects’ experiences during public events.

Objective “To join institutions, authority of contrast/judicial and services of prison with services of territory and private social for the elaboration of shared strategies” and objective “swap of informations, spread and nets” have been reached through modelization of good practices for the social inclusion support through the work for the crime prevention; the development of a European network ; the development of a widened local nets connected among the regions and the creation of a specialized national technical – scientific group.

2. In light of the experience gained, please describe what you view positively in the preparation and implementation of the project, e.g. analysis of the problems to solve, organisation, methodology and administrative, technical and financial management.

As far as methodological – organizational plan, collaboration and swap among partner gave positive outcomes measurable as in products reached as in process activated.

Work atmosphere has been since the beginning strongly. Work atmosphere has been at all times strongly partecipative and mutual recognition of competences and specific experience in the interest sector of the project.

Moreover, it was possible to involve in a widened net also subjects not foreseen at the beginning, encouraging aggregation of new actors, also institutionals , with whom it is activated a plan of comparison and collaboration enduring.

It has also demonstrated a good ability of project management wich has permitted to increase his range (in qualitative and quantitative terms) also through an integrated planning and a synergy activated with other projects and network connections with the National Italian network “OPEN” promoted within the Action 3 of the Equal Community, with the National Italian project GULLIVER, funded by the Ministry of the Labour wich promoted (among different activities) study visits aiming to exchange good practices in the field of vocational training.

Work of research and discussion of his result and following analysis has been laied out and carried out together with partner contributing to the achievement of a shared work and progressive development of the product, that on the whole appears hetereogeneous and well deepened.

Visits and observations on the field of some real experiences of partner during transnational meetings in all involved countries, and the comparison with operators that conduct them, it has permitted a good swap level of informations, methodological approaches and organizational modalities about some practices , and then a further enrichment of competence and knoweledge property of operators.



3. In light of the experience gained, please describe what you view negatively in the preparation and implementation of the project (as under point 2).

During preparation and development of the project it has registered some organizational and methodological difficulties due to some limits pointed out from different partner.

Particularly difficulty of communication and collaboration at a distance among partner during operative phases of the project, both owing to the language and owing to a net of communication not always of immediate success among persons.

Moreover a difficulty of management of times , both during transnational meetings and of life project in his whole, it hasn't permitted a greater widening about single activities and an extensive development plan.

4. Please describe below any other information, which would help the European Commission in making a balanced evaluation of the project, and/or improving the functioning and management of the Programme.

There aren't other informations in addition to those previously related.

5. Name, telephone, fax, and if possible also email of the person to be contacted in case the Commission receives requests for further information from third parties interested in your project:

**DUCANGE Claudia Tel.: +39 011 386048 – 3827010 – 3849777; Fax: +39 011 375878; e-mail: claudia.ducange@cfpp.it ; cellulare: +393357449730**

**TESSA Secondo Tel.: +39 011 386048 – 3827010 – 3849777; Fax: +39 011 375878; e-mail: dino.tessa@cfpp.it ; cellulare: +393357449700**

6. The information you provided us with under the points A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, A8, A9, A10, A12, A13, A16, A17 and A18, and all the other relevant documents you sent with this report, may be used by the Commission within the framework of its Information and Dissemination Strategy. Do you agree with this or would you like to comment on this?

Yes, I do

Date: 19 ottobre 2009 Place: Torino.

Name of the person responsible for the project: Claudia DUCANGE

Signature: .....

Name of the legal representative of the contractor: Secondo TESSA

Signature: .....